

identification of the appropriate tenant, it transmits to the mobile station a *tenant-specific* list of allowable base stations. Note especially that the list so obtained would be exactly the same for each mobile station (or wireless terminal) assigned to the tenant in question. Typically, there is a larger number of wireless terminals (Chavez, column 2, line 64) and each tenant can designate, which wireless terminals (in plural!) are allowed to be served by certain dedicated bases stations (column 2, lines 52-54).

In other words, the only thing that is *terminal-specific* in the disclosure of Chavez is the entry in a table that is internal to the wireless switching system. Based on such terminal-specific information the network can select a tenant-specific preference list to be transmitted to the wireless terminal, but the network will never transmit the terminal-specific information because it is only useful to the wireless switching system for the purposes of finding out, which tenant has a certain terminal assigned to it.

The applicant's pending claims clearly require the network to store and transmit to the mobile terminal certain terminal-specific information. In particular, claims 1, 4 and 6 recite "based on data specific to that terminal stored in and received from a network". These limitations are not anticipated by Chavez.

It is therefore requested that the rejection of claims 1-3, 4, 6 and 7 under 35 USC 102 on Chavez be withdrawn.

Wang also fails to disclose this feature. Thus adding it to Chavez does not result in the present invention. Therefore the


rejection of claims 5 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 103 on these references should be withdrawn.


Similarly, ETSI fails to disclose the above feature. Thus adding it to Chavez and Wang does not result in the present invention. Therefore the rejection of claims 9 and 10 on these references under 35 U.S.C. 103 should be withdrawn.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now present in the application are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record, and are in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested. Should any unresolved issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment for any fees associated with this communication or credit any over payment to Deposit Account No. 16-1350.

Respectfully submitted,


Henry I. Steckler
Reg. No. 24,139


Date

Perman & Green, LLP
425 Post Road
Fairfield, CT 06824
(203) 259-1800
Customer No.: 2512



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date indicated below as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: 12/30/02

Signature: Carolina Rodriguez
Person Making Deposit

RECEIVED

JAN 07 2003

Technology Center 2600